

KEPPELPUB01533
13/10/2020

KEPPEL
pp 01533-01549

PUBLIC
HEARING

COPYRIGHT

INDEPENDENT COMMISSION AGAINST CORRUPTION

THE HONOURABLE RUTH McCOLL AO
COMMISSIONER

PUBLIC HEARING

OPERATION KEPPEL

Reference: Operation E17/0144

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

AT SYDNEY

ON TUESDAY 13 OCTOBER, 2020

AT 2.00PM

Any person who publishes any part of this transcript in any way and to any person contrary to a Commission direction against publication commits an offence against section 112(2) of the Independent Commission Against Corruption Act 1988.

This transcript has been prepared in accordance with conventions used in the Supreme Court.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr Robertson.

MR ROBERTSON: Ms Wang, when is the last time you had any communication with Mr Maguire?---That was 2018 August.

2018?---Yeah. Oh, sorry, there was a email sent in December, oh, sorry,
10 September, 2018.

September of 2018. So that was after - - -?---I think after his – yeah.

That was after he had resigned from parliament, is that right?---After his home been searched.

After his home had been searched, is that right?---Yeah.

20 What was the nature of that email that you're just referring to?---That was a email sent to a few people, and one of them said, "Sorry, I lost the contact of you guys, and because of" – I, I forgot if he mentioned ICAC or not, I forgot that bit. And he wondering if he can catch up in Sydney.

And did you ultimately catch up with him in Sydney?---No.

So what did you do in response to that particular email?---I, I did not respond at all to that email.

30 And so just to be clear in terms of timing, you're saying that the last communication of any kind that you had with Mr Maguire was around about the time that a search warrant was executed in relation to Mr Maguire's property.---After. Yes.

Maybe a few days after, something like that.---Yes.

I think a search warrant was executed in relation to your premises as well. Is that right?---Yes.

40 And so it was around about the same time as that. Is that right?---Yes.

Prior to that, so prior to the search warrants being executed, when was the last time you had any communication with Mr Maguire?---Oh, August.

And what were the circumstances of that contact?---Oh, he sent me, he, he wants to catch up. So I catch up with him at a coffee shop.

Now, this was after he had resigned from parliament, is that right?---This is after he resigned.

And was that a coffee shop in Sydney, or was it a coffee shop elsewhere?
---Yes, in Sydney.

And what was the nature of that communication or that meeting? What did you talk about?---So the, the meeting I can't remember exactly, you know, just a catch up and, and, you know, I said I feel sorry, you know, for what happened, because he already resigned, and, and I said, oh, you know, it's, you know, it's, it's not too bad and, you know, you can keep going, those
10 kind of thing. And, and I said, you know, it's only, you know, the story, you know, the, only the news at that time, that people will forget.

THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, Ms Wang, could you speak up, please? I'm finding it hard to hear you.---Oh. Sorry. Yeah. And so those kind of, you know, chat, you know, you know, chat (not transcribable).

MR ROBERTSON: So you're aware that Mr Maguire gave evidence before this Commission in a public hearing on 13 July, 2018?---About Canterbury
20 one, yes.

About the Canterbury City Council?---I, I saw the news, yes.

You saw the news about that?---Yes.

And did you have any communications with Mr Maguire between that appearance, on 13 July, 2018, and the time that you met him in the coffee shop that you're now telling us about?---I don't think so, because at that time, I think I was in China.

30 So after that all happens, and after Mr Maguire is retired from parliament, you have this meeting with him in the coffee shop, is that right?---Yes.

And other than what you've told us so far, what else do you talk about with Mr Maguire during the course of that meeting?---Oh, I, I think I remember similar thing, he mentioned about a unfortunate, unfortunate accident in the paddock with his phones and iPad.

40 Okay. So I want you to just be – I know it was a little while ago, but doing the best you can, what did Mr Maguire say about unfortunate accidents and paddocks and things?---Yeah, with, you know, the, his phones and iPad had unfortunate accident.

So can you just do your best just to say what words did Mr Maguire use or you can say, "He used words to the following effect." Can you just try and capture what Mr Maguire said to you regarding paddocks and accidents and things like that?---Yeah. So that, that's what he said, just, you know, "Unfortunate accident."

Well, just try to tell me – so there was an unfortunate accident?---Yeah, under tractors.

Under tractors.---Yeah.

And what was the unfortunate accident that Mr Maguire explained?---So with his phones.

10 So what, he said something like, “There’s been an unfortunate accident where my phones and iPad have been,” what, “Run over by a tractor,” something like that?---Yeah, something like that.

Is that all that he said about devices or records, things of that kind?---Yeah.

Well, did he give you any advice or suggestion as to anything you should do in relation to your records?---I already deleted some his text messages and emails.

20 So before this coffee shop meeting - - -?---Yep.

- - - you had deleted some text messages and, so some text message that Mr Maguire had sent you. Is that right?---Yes.

30 And you deleted them because you were concerned that those messages might implicate you. Is that right?---I, because I got messages when I was in China and my friend, Du Wei, ask me you know gets a phone call from, from Daryl. You know, he just said, “Oh, you know, what happened with him?” Because, because my friend in Beijing, he has no idea what’s happening here, so and I explained to him, I said, you know, “Just that inquiry.” And I told my friend, you know, “Daryl’s fine,” you know, you know, “Just bad, you know, publicity and he’s fine.” You know, and so my friend some kind of mentioned something, you know, “Delete his message,” kind of thing. So I didn’t pay too much attention.

THE COMMISSIONER: So your friend said something like, “Delete his message,” did you say?---No, no, he just pass over the message.

40 MR ROBERTSON: So when you’re referring to your friend, you’re referring to Du Wei. Is that right?---Yeah.

And are you saying that Du Wei was passing on a message to you - - -?
---Yeah.

- - - from Mr Maguire?---Yeah.

Is that what you’re saying?---Yeah.

And the message included something like, “You should delete your messages.” Was that that idea?---Yeah, yeah.

And the messages being referred to are the messages between you and Mr Maguire. Is that right?---Maguire, yes, yeah.

And did you ultimately delete messages between you and Mr Maguire?
---And I had a lot of messages and really, really didn't, you know, mean much to me but I still delete some old messages.

10

So at that point in time when your friend, Du Wei, passed on a message from Mr Maguire, you knew that Mr Maguire was the subject of investigation. Is that right?---I cannot.

Well, you at least - - -?---I didn't pay any attention to that, I didn't pay much attention to - - -

You at least knew that he had been before this Commission in a public inquiry - - -?---Yes.

20

- - - on 13 August, 2018, correct?---That's right, yes.

And you knew that that led to him having to resign from his political party. ---He wasn't resign at that time.

Well, he'd at least resigned from his political party, the Liberal Party, by that point in time.---That's right, yes.

30

And you were aware of that. Is that right?---Yes.

And you were aware that there was significant controversy about what Mr Maguire may have done. Is that right?---Yes.

You knew that Mr Maguire was in potential trouble. Correct?---Yes, maybe, yeah.

Well, you knew that he might be in some trouble. Is that right?---Yes, but I thought he could go through it.

40

And you complied with Mr Maguire's request through your friend, Du Wei, to delete some of the messages between you and Mr Maguire. Is that right?
---Yes.

And do you agree that you did that because you thought that some of those messages might get Mr Maguire into more trouble?---I, I looked through, really nothing in those messages, so I couldn't work out what exactly he meant.

Well, why did you delete them then?---‘Cause just old messages, I didn’t need them.

Okay. But you weren’t just deleting them because they were old messages, you were deleting them because Mr Maguire, through your friend Du Wei, had requested that they be deleted. Correct?---Yes.

10 And the reason you agreed to comply with Mr Maguire’s request through Du Wei is that you thought that Mr Maguire might get in trouble, he might be implicated by what’s on those messages. Correct?---Yes, correct, but I didn’t pay any attention to that, so that’s why I think the meeting with him he, he mentioned that unfortunate accident and I was, yeah - - -

We’ll come back to the meeting with the unfortunate accident but I think you agree that the reason you agreed with Mr Maguire’s message - - -? ---Yes, I agree.

20 - - - through Du Wei was a concern that those messages might implicate Mr Maguire. Is that right?---Yes.

And so you sought to delete them to keep them away from, to conceal them from either this Commission or anyone else who might investigate Mr Maguire. Is that right?---I, I thought was silly at that time so because I couldn't see any importance doing it.

THE COMMISSIONER: Ms Wang, could you please answer Mr Robertson’s question.---Yes. Yes.

30 MR ROBERTSON: You agreed to delete those messages so as to conceal them from this Commission or any other body that might investigate Mr Maguire. Correct?---I just complying what he said.

Yes, but the reason you complied with what he had to say was that you knew that those messages might implicate Mr Maguire. Correct?---Yes.

And so you were assisting Mr Maguire to keep evidence away from this Commission. Do you agree?---I didn't think that it’s serious.

40 Whether or not you thought it was serious what you were assisting in, and you knew this at the time, what you were assisting in is keeping evidence away from this Commission. Do you agree?---Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: And, Ms Wang, when you first said a few minutes ago that you had already deleted some of Mr Maguire’s texts when you had coffee with him, you also said you’d deleted some of his emails. ---Yes. Yes, I did.

So was that for all the same reasons you deleted the texts?---Yes.

And how did you decide which text to delete and which emails to delete?---I think by date, the old one.

Well, what period of time did you choose for the date for the texts and the emails you deleted?---I don't, I don't know exactly. I, I keep like six months current. Didn't delete anything over six months kind of thing.

10 So anything earlier than about February 2018, something like that?---Could be, yeah. I, I didn't pay too much attention to that.

MR ROBERTSON: But why did you decide to only delete emails that were older than six months?---Because that's less, less irrelevant because within six months maybe you still need to use those.

20 Well, are you saying you thought that more recent emails would be less likely to implicate Mr Maguire?---No, no. I thought the most recent emails may be useful, you know, because life still kept going, you know what I mean. Business still kept going.

Well, useful to who?---Useful to myself.

So is it right that as you understood it Mr Maguire through your friend Du Wei was asking you to delete telephone messages and emails concerning Mr Maguire? That was the message that was being communicated - - -? ---Yes.

30 - - - to you after Mr Maguire had attended this Commission Is that right? ---It wasn't that clear. It wasn't that clear.

Well, it was at least clear to you that Mr Maguire wanted messages and potentially also emails deleted. Is that right?---Yes.

And you agreed to comply with that request. Is that right?---Yes.

And you complied with that in relation to telephone messages. You went through and deleted as many messages as you could find between you and Mr Maguire. Is that right?---Yes.

40 And you mostly complied with that direction or request in relation to emails between you and Mr Maguire. Is that right?---Yes.

But you did keep some more recent emails between you and Mr Maguire. Is that right?---Yes.

And why did you keep those emails?---Because I thought they still could be useful.

Useful to you?---For, for myself, yes.

Useful to you because it might be a more recent thing that might be able to assist you going forward?---Yeah, exactly.

But you did that for yourself. You did that for your own benefit. Is that right?---Yes.

10 Do you agree that one of the reasons you agreed with Mr Maguire's request through Mr Du Wei to delete emails and messages was that you were concerned that some of those emails or messages might implicate you?
---No, because I wouldn't think these things will go back to myself.

Well, did you delete any emails or other materials regarding what I've called the immigration scheme?---Yes, I did.

And you deleted those because you were concerned that those emails might implicate you. Do you agree?---Yeah, that could be, yeah.

20 And that was deleted after Mr Du Wei gave you the message from Mr Maguire. Is that right?---It's after the meeting with, with, with him in a coffee shop because I, I was a bit alert by, you know, by what he said so I didn't, I didn't that it's serious.

So just to try and understand the timing, before Mr Maguire appeared before this Commission about Canterbury Council on 13 July, 2018, you didn't bother making a special effort to delete any messages, emails, things of that kind.---No.

30 Is that right? And you found out sometime around 13 July, 2018 that Mr Maguire appeared before this Commission concerning the Canterbury City Council. Is that right?---Yeah.

And around that time Mr Du Wei passed on a message from Mr Maguire. ---Yeah.

And that message was, delete some of your material, delete some messages and emails. Is that right?---Yeah, yeah.

40 And so you then deleted a whole series of messages between you and Mr Maguire. Is that right?---Yeah.

And you deleted some of your email communications with Mr Maguire but not some of the more recent ones. Is that right?---Yes.

Now, did you have, after that communication through Du Wei, did you have any other communications with Mr Maguire between then and when you

met with Mr Maguire for coffee a little bit later on after he had retired from parliament?---I can't recall.

So at least so far as you can recall, there were no more messages through Du Wei for example?---No.

You were in China at the time of the message from Du Wei?---Yes.

10 And do I take it Mr Maguire made some contact with you to say, "Can we meet up for a coffee," something like that?---Yes.

But other than that communication, "Can we meet up for coffee," there was no other messages or emails or anything like that, that you can now recall. Is that right?---I don't recall, sorry, I just - - -

And so there's some sort of a message, was that a text message to you saying, "Can we meet up for coffee," do you remember?---Yeah.

20 It wasn't an email, it was a text message, so far as you remember?---Text message kind of thing, yeah, message kind of thing, yeah.

And so then you then have coffee with Mr Maguire.---Yeah.

In Sydney?---Yeah.

And that happens after he has - - -?---Resigned.

- - - resigned from parliament. Is that right?---Yes.

30 And during the course of that meeting, Mr Maguire tells you that some of his devices, including an iPad and telephones have had an unfortunate incident with a tractor. Is that right?---Yes.

And you understood him to mean, I've destroyed some of my devices to try and destroy records. Is that right?---Yes, I, I, yes.

40 Now, during that meeting, during the coffee meeting did Mr Maguire give you any advice or suggestion or request concerning any messages, emails, documents, anything of that kind?---No, not that I can recall.

Did he suggest that, for example, that some of your devices should have unfortunate incidents under a tractor or be thrown into Sydney Harbour or something like that?---No. I can't recall that.

But is it right that you were concerned about some of the things Mr Maguire was saying during the coffee meeting and you were concerned that there might be some investigation or further investigation into Mr Maguire?
---Yeah.

And you were concerned that that investigation or some investigation might risk implicating you. Is that right?---Yeah, could be.

And so is it right that after that coffee meeting you took it upon yourself to try and delete some of your records concerning the immigration scheme?
---Yeah, because I didn't pay too much attention about the previous message.

10 So when you got the message from Du Wei you didn't pay too much attention to it.---Yeah.

You deleted messages after Du Wei gave you the message from Mr Maguire.---Just a little bit, I just feel like silly, you know, just I didn't think any serious or, you know, importance, you know, of that so - - -

Well, but you agree that you agreed with Mr Maguire's request through Du Wei because you were helping Mr Maguire keep evidence away from this Commission. Is that right?---Yep.
20

But are you saying that after the coffee meeting with Mr Maguire, that led you to think that the matter was actually a matter of some seriousness, the investigation was a matter of some seriousness?---Yes.

It made you think it was more serious than what you thought just based on the communication from Du Wei.---Yes, yeah, because it was casual, very casual, you know, thing, casual chat kind of.

And you were further concerned that the investigation or an investigation might go further and might tend to implicate you. Is that right?---Yes.
30

And you were concerned that the investigation might be broader than just about Canterbury City Council, it might start looking into things like the immigration scheme that you were involved in. Is that right?---Yes.

And so you took it upon yourself to delete records that you had concerning the immigration scheme. Is that right?---Yes.

And you did that because you wanted to conceal and keep away from this Commission evidence that might implicate you. Is that right?---I didn't think about myself at the time, but yes.
40

Well, you at least wanted to keep evidence away that might implicate Mr Maguire, is that right?---Yes.

And so you sought to conceal evidence from the Commission, at least to help Mr Maguire, correct?---That was his request.

Or did Mr Maguire make another request at the coffee meeting, is that what you're saying?---No.

So you're saying he made the request through Du Wei?---Ah hmm. I - - -

And you took some action in response to that request?---Yep.

But after or during the coffee meeting, you gave it some further consideration and you decided to delete some more records.---Yeah.

10

Is that right?---Yes.

But part of the reason you decided to delete the records that you deleted after Du Wei gave you the message was that you were concerned about you being implicated. Do you agree?---Yes.

And part of the reason why you deleted material or sought to delete material after your coffee meeting with Mr Maguire is a concern that you might be implicated, correct?---Yes.

20

Now, is it just electronic records that you sought to delete, things like messages and emails and things of that kind? Or were there hard copy records as well?---No hard copy record.

So it was only emails and messages, things of that kind?---Yes.

What about emails or messages or documents that Monika Hao had, did you make contact with Monika Hao and say something like, "Well, Mr Maguire thinks we should delete" - - -?---No.

30

- - - "records concerning the immigration scheme," no?---No.

But you knew that Monika might have documents that might implicate you, didn't you?---No. All of her records are above the board. So I'm not worried about - - -

No, we spent some time on this a little bit earlier in the public inquiry. You'll remember I showed you a number of documents that were submitted to the Immigration Department that contained false information about businesses and things of that kind. Do you remember that?---No. I'm not worried about that, no. At all.

40

You at least agree, don't you, that some of the material that was submitted to the Immigration Department to your knowledge was false, correct? ---That was with consent of the business, yes.

Perhaps with the consent of the business. But the material was false, is that right?---Yes.

Well, you must have been concerned that material of that kind might implicate you, because you were involved in that immigration scheme.
---Not bother me, no. Not go that far, no.

But Monika Hao's a friend of yours?---Yes.

10 You knew that she might become implicated in the immigration scheme as well as you, correct?---But everything there, that was all above the board.
So I'm not worried about that.

But after the coffee meeting, or perhaps after you got the message from Du Wei, didn't you at least pick up the phone to Ms Hao and say, "Look, we might have something to worry about here"?---I can't recall that.

20 Well, surely you've got a recollection of doing something like that, to your friend Monika, who might become implicated along with you.---But she, she's just, you know, always assure me every, every document there was all fine. So that's, I'm quite confident is not problem.

No, but you knew that some of those documents weren't fine. We spent a lot of time on that the last time you were here.---I know, I know, but -- yeah. But she didn't know that. So, I, I'm not worried about that.

So why wouldn't you give your friend a call - - -?---Because I always, the business all agree with that. So, you know, what's the point doing that?

30 But wouldn't you at least be concerned that your friend might get caught up in this matter of investigation?---She can explain, so I, not worry me.

But you were concerned about your position. Do you agree?---Yes.

Why wouldn't you give your friend a call and say, "You need to be worried about your position too"?---Because, because all of the paper, everything on paper was all fine, I'm not worried about the paperwork at all.

So what, you thought it would be difficult for anyone to demonstrate that the paperwork was false, is that right?---Yes.

40 So therefore you were less concerned about the paperwork - - -?---I didn't worry about it at all. I didn't, wasn't concerned at, at all.

So you were less concerned about the paperwork than things like messages or emails between you and Mr Maguire.---That's right.

You thought there might be some things in the messages or emails that might implicate either you or Mr Maguire. Is that right?---That wasn't my concern either, but he asked me to do it.

Well, I think you've already agreed that that was one of the reasons that you agreed with Mr Maguire's request through Du Wei to delete records - - -?

---That, that - - -

10 - - - that you were concerned that they might implicate Mr Maguire. Is that right?---That's puzzled me, because that's really worry, you know, so that's why I didn't paid attention to it, because the thing that really, really worry me is that cash payment thing. And I did not make any record at the first place. So that didn't exist. So the, you know, all of the messages, I don't know what he was talking about. But I still complain, you know, (not transcribable) what's he said.

But you're not trying to change the evidence you gave before, are you? What you said before, as I understood it, was that one of the reasons you agreed to delete your records was that you were concerned that they might implicate Mr Maguire. Is that your evidence or not?---It was my evidence, but - - -

20 Is that still your evidence or do you want to change it?---No, no, I don't want to change it, because he asked me to do it so I do.

He asked you to do it, and one of the reasons you agreed to do it was that you were concerned that the material - - -?---I just complain what he said.

Let me finish. One of the reasons you agreed to do it is that you were concerned that the evidence might implicate, that material might implicate Mr Maguire. Correct?---Yes.

30 You were also concerned that that material might implicate you. Correct? ---No, because - - -

Well, isn't that what you said before?---No, because those messages nothing, really just nothing, really, you know, I don't know why he asked me to do it, it is really just nothing. It's puzzled me.

40 Do you agree that one of the reasons you decided to delete messages and emails – be that after the time you got the message from Du Wei or after the coffee meeting – was that you were concerned that that material might implicate you?---No, because not implicating me.

So do you want to change your evidence from the evidence you gave before, do you? I thought you said – maybe I'm wrong - - -?---I'm confused, sorry, I'm confused. The whole thing is, I don't know why he ask me to do it. I just, I just did it because he asked me to do it.

One of the reasons you decided to delete records was you were concerned that those records would implicate you. Do you agree with that or not?

---Oh, I agree with you everything so I'm not (not transcribable)

No, don't agree with everything. If you don't agree with it, you've given an affirmation to tell the truth, I'm suggesting to you that one of the reasons you deleted certain material, messages and emails, was you were concerned that they would contain evidence that might implicate you. Do you agree?
---Okay, I agree.

10 THE COMMISSIONER: Ms Wang, at the coffee shop meeting or after the coffee shop meeting you said you deleted records relating to the immigration scheme.---Yeah.

Did Mr Maguire say anything to you during the course of the coffee shop meeting - - -?---No, he didn't mention anything.

- - - which led you to take that step?---He didn't mention anything.

So why did you decide to take that step after the coffee shop meeting?
---Because I just delete old messages.

20

So at that stage did you just delete everything - - -?---Yeah.

- - - prior to that date?---No, no, like six months old, I just delete all, basically almost all of them.

So previously you'd preserved those for the previous six months.---Yeah.

30 After the coffee shop meeting did you delete everything, including those in the last six months?---Could be, yes. I can't remember exactly, but I did delete some.

But do I understand also from what you said that - - -?---Sorry, just really confused now.

40 Just listen. Well, we're trying to understand your evidence as best as possible, Ms Wang. Do I take it, though, that prior to the coffee shop meeting you had done a selective process of deletion and had kept records which related to the immigration scheme, even though they were older than six months?---Yes, I think so, yes.

And so after the coffee shop meeting you deleted those records as well?
---I think so. I can't exactly sure, sorry. I did it some more, yes. I can't, I can't exactly, I can't, I can't exactly, I can't tell you exactly but I did delete quite a few old emails. Sorry.

MR ROBERTSON: You deleted more material and you were more careful in making sure you deleted things after the coffee meeting with Mr Maguire. Is that right?---Yes.

And part of the explanation for that was, given what Mr Maguire said to you during the course of the coffee meeting, you were getting more concerned about potential investigations. Is that right?---Yes.

It seemed to you to be quite serious in light of what Mr Maguire told you and you were therefore getting concerned. Is that right?---Yes.

10 And so you therefore wanted to be careful to ensure that you deleted as much as possible of your emails and messages with Mr Maguire. Is that right?---Yes.

But you also wanted to delete as much as possible in relation to the immigration scheme. Is that right?---Yes.

Because you were concerned, at least at that point in time, that there might be some investigation into the immigration scheme. Is that right?---Yes.

20 Have you been telling the truth today?---Yes.

Were you telling the truth when you were before the Commission in the public inquiry a couple of weeks ago on 29 September, 2019?---Yes.

You appeared before this Commission in private hearings on a number of occasions in 2018 and also in this year.---Yes.

30 Do you agree that on each of those occasions – and I’ll just give you the dates to remind you, 11 October, 2018, 12 October, 2018, 15 October, 2018 and then in this year, 10 August, 2020, 11 August, 2020, and 25 September, 2020 – you gave false or misleading evidence to this Commission?---Yes, I did, but those points has been corrected since then. During the public hearing it’s all truth.

Why did you give false or misleading evidence on each of those occasions that I identified, those five occasions?---Because I was, I was panic. I was ashamed. I was, I don’t know. I was, oh, I, I don’t know. I just, I, I couldn’t, I couldn’t cope. I just feel like it was disaster.

40 And so do you say you’ve now corrected the false or misleading evidence in the public inquiry? You’ve corrected the false or misleading evidence you gave in the private inquiry?---Yes.

Why did you ultimately decide to correct your previous evidence and tell the truth in the public inquiry?---Because it is too hard. It just, I, I, I can’t do any more, so I just, I, I had enough. I can’t, I can’t, I can’t go, you know, keep going any more. I just, just too hard, sorry, just - - -

Does that mean you've decided to tell the truth because you didn't think you could get away with lying anymore?---No. No, because I just, just the pressure, I couldn't hold, couldn't just, I just want everything to the end, just, you know, just, I, I, I don't think I would, you know, emotionally or mentally or physically can keep going anymore.

10 So is it right that the pressure of continuing to give false or misleading evidence ultimately became too much for you and you then decided to tell the truth. Is that a fair summary of what you've just said?---I, I have to tell the truth at one stage and, you know, I, I just, it just, just a relief for me to tell the truth, basically, yes.

So you felt, you felt pressured when you were giving false or misleading evidence, and you decided to try and release that pressure by telling the truth in the public inquiry, is that right?---I just. I, I, I, I need to tell the truth because, yeah, I, I just, I just need to do it. I just, I don't know how to say it. I, I don't know. I don't know how to explain. Just, I just want everything just, to the end. I just don't want this keep going. I just - - -

20 You felt bad about lying and you thought you might be able to release some of that pressure by telling the truth, is that what you're saying?---I feel really, really bad and I feel like, you know, I feel, I, I want the whole thing to be end. I, I, by telling the truth, it could be the end for everything, yes.

That's the examination, Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you, Mr Robertson.

30 THE WITNESS: Sorry.

THE COMMISSIONER: Ms Wang, there's, you know there's some water. ---Sorry, thanks.

There's some water there if you want some.---Thanks.

And some tissues back there if you want some.---Thanks, Commissioner. Thanks.

40 Mr Harrowell, do you propose to ask some questions?

MR HARROWELL: No, Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Mr McInerney, do you wish to ask some questions?

MR McINERNEY: No, Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Robertson, what do you wish me to do in relation to Ms Wang's summons?

MR ROBERTSON: I propose that she should be discharged, I think. Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Ms Wang, that's the conclusion of your public evidence.---Thanks.

10 And Mr Robertson has asked that I discharge you from your summons to attend at the public inquiry.---Thank you. Thanks very much.

I do that now and you may step down.---Thanks very much. Thanks, Commissioner.

THE WITNESS EXCUSED

[2.34pm]

20 MR ROBERTSON: That's the program of evidence for today. I will call Mr Maguire, I propose, at 10.00am tomorrow.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. Thank you, Mr Robertson. We'll adjourn till 10.00am tomorrow.

AT 2.34PM THE MATTER WAS ADJOURNED ACCORDINGLY

[2.34pm]