

Offer Evaluation: Benchmarking Consultant - ARIBA Requisition Title, Date 21/09/12		Supplier 1	Supplier 2	Supplier 3	Supplier 4	Supplier 5	Supplier 6					
Please Select >		Becc	El	GHD	Indec	ENM	Enter					
Mandatory Criteria	Mandatory requirements (YES/NO)**	Select	Select	Select	Select	Select	Select					
	Minimum total technical score required	60%										
	Does the response hold all qualifications, safety, insurance, certification or licensing requirements as required by RailCorp?	YES	YES	YES	YES	please select	please select					
	Have all minimum criteria detailed on the specification / scope of work been met?	YES	YES	YES	YES	please select	please select					
	Optional Business Requirement	please select	please select	please select	please select	please select	please select					
	Plusses all Mandatory requirements?	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO					
Technical Weighting **	60%	** Any deviation from pre-selected criteria and/or weighting must be approved by the Procurement Shared Services Sourcing Team Leader.										
Price Weighting **	40%											
Technical Criteria	Technical evaluation (1 = score each out of 100) **	Weighting	Score*	%	Score*	%	Score*	%	Score*	%	Score*	%
	Part performance and experience with project/work of similar nature, size and complexity	25%	35.0	8.8%	30.0	7.5%	50.0	12.5%	70.0	17.5%		0.0%
	Project delivery methodology (including resource planning, allocation and understanding of project requirements)	15%	50.0	7.5%	50.0	7.5%	60.0	9.0%	80.0	12.0%		0.0%
	Works/Services Program (including timeframe for deliverables)	20%	50.0	10.0%	50.0	10.0%	90.0	22.5%	80.0	20.0%		0.0%
	Experience and expertise of key personnel including sub-contractors	25%	70.0	17.5%	80.0	20.0%	70.0	17.5%	60.0	15.0%		0.0%
	Management systems/documentation addressing project specific requirements (for example safety management, risk management, quality assurance, environmental or any other relevant management documentation)	15%	20.0	3.0%	20.0	3.0%	60.0	12.0%	80.0	9.0%		0.0%
	Optional Business Requirement		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%
	Optional Business Requirement		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%
	Optional Business Requirement		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%
	Must = 100%	100%										
Technical Score	Weighted Technical score		60.0%		48.0%		63.0%		74.5%		0.0%	
	Highest non-price score		74.5%								0.0%	
	Normalised score =											
Price	Weighted Price Score											
	Price excluding GST	ENTER ->										
	Lowest Price	148,390	\$ 227,900.00	\$ 227,900.00	\$ 148,390.00	\$ 148,390.00	\$ 253,215.00	\$ 253,215.00	\$ 260,000.00	\$ 260,000.00	\$ 260,000.00	
	Normalised price score =		28.0	49.0	23.4	22.8	260,000.00					
Result	Total Score		84	71	74	83						
	Weighted price score + Normalised non-price score											

TEC Co-ordinator	Supplier Evaluation Report
ENTER NAME: LARA BAYMILL ENTER TITLE: PROCURER/BUYER MANAGER ENTER DIVISION: S&IS DATE: 21/09/12 [Signature]	<b>Supplier Evaluation Outcome</b> Describe selection methodology followed and summarise outcome Include description of suitability of the selected candidate against requirements List any clarifications that were requested of suppliers and which suppliers List any suppliers that were rejected and state reason why Outline any reasons if there is a dissenting opinion  Indec have both local + international benchmarking proposed. Indec have also allocated the appropriately skilled + experienced personnel. The methodology presented by Indec was comprehensive + clearly stated what was to be undertaken. Indec directly outlined the benchmarking activities and clearly showed they understood what was required. El presented a light proposal and lacked information on previous projects. El did not present relevant previous projects. Becc presented a very brief proposal + lacked sufficient information to warrant higher scores.
TEC Member ENTER NAME: JOSEPH CAMILLERI ENTER TITLE: [Signature] ENTER DIVISION: [Signature] DATE: 21/09/12	
TEC Member ENTER NAME: NATHAN HOFFMEISTER ENTER TITLE: SENIOR PROJECT MANAGER ENTER DIVISION: OPERATIONS DATE: 21/09/12	
<b>DECLARATION:</b> 1. By signing this, all TEC Members confirm their agreement to and endorsement of the proposed Tender Evaluation Criteria and/or the Tender Evaluation Report. 2. In signing this document we declare that we acknowledge and affirm their obligations under the Rail Corporation New South Wales Code of Conduct in relation to Confidentiality and Conflict of Interests, and where a Conflict of Interests has been identified by any panel member, a relevant Confidentiality & Conflict of Interests Declaration Form (C204) has been completed and attached to this spreadsheet. 3. In approving this submission we declare that we have completed the relevant Probity and Ethics training via completing standard Area user training (C209) or attendance at Probity and Ethics in Procurement course (M738).  TEC Member ENTER NAME: [Signature] ENTER TITLE: [Signature] ENTER DIVISION: ASSET PLANNING DATE: 21/09/12	

ATTACHMENT C - CONFIDENTIALITY & CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARATION

Procurement Project Identifier & Title: Benchmark Consultant ("the Project")

Employee's Name: Sesepi T. Comarudin

Position: G.M

I am fully aware of my obligations under the Rail Corporation New South Wales ("RailCorp") Code of Conduct in relation to confidentiality and conflicts of interest.

I understand that during my involvement with the Project I will handle and obtain information that is confidential in nature ("Confidential Information") and that must be kept confidential. This does not include information that is already public knowledge or is required to be disclosed pursuant to law, regulation, or legal process.

I also understand RailCorp's requirement that no conflicts of interest should exist between its employees and tenderers or contractors during the establishment and execution of the Project.

Specifically, I undertake:-

- (i) not to disclose the Confidential Information to other employees, contractors and their employees, consultants and their employees, representatives of other public and private sector organisation or the general public and not to allow the Confidential Information (or any copy of it) to go out of my possession or custody and control, unless expressly authorised to do so by my supervisor or manager;
- (ii) to only use the Confidential Information for carrying out my duties in relation to the Project ("Permitted Use");
- (iii) to make reasonable efforts to ensure that the individuals and their employers to whom I release the Confidential Information, in accordance with paragraph (i) above, have signed a confidentiality agreement in a form approved by RailCorp prior to me releasing the Confidential Information to them;
- (iv) to take all necessary precautions to ensure that no person other than a person known to me to be authorised by RailCorp is able to gain access to the Confidential Information and to ensure that the Confidential Information is safely and securely stored;
- (v) not to personally retain, destroy or remove from RailCorp's offices any original documentation, records, notes, copies (soft or hard) or reproductions of any Confidential Information; and
- (vi) that this promise of confidentiality shall continue notwithstanding the termination of my involvement with the Project.

Further, I undertake to disclose to my supervisor or manager in writing, any real or potential conflicts of interest should they arise during my involvement with the Project and warrant that I am not engaged (whether directly or indirectly) in any business activity or have any other interest that may conflict with RailCorp's interest. I currently have no such conflicts.

Dated this 19 day of September 20012

Signed: [Signature]

In the presence of:-

Signed: [Signature]

Name: RAGINI TALIHAL