

## NSW ICAC EXHIBIT

OP. SONET  
E14/1727  
EXHIBIT S12

"I will try to work out how best to describe this to clarify for finance department..."

Page 63 / 40

Our scheme – Jason was very well aware.

Not true. I have provided over 3000 emails as part of this investigation. None show any knowledge of any of Ron's activity.

Page 64 / 10

Ron – we did architecture recommendations..

Not true. He never did anything.

Page 64 / 40

He invited me to come back to ProLearn

In May Ron phoned to say he had some news. He said he and some colleagues were planning on establishing an RTO competing with TAFE and going to take the ProLearn students.

Page 64 / 40

We were planning to establish an RTO together

Not true.

Page 96 / 30

TAFE support approved

Not true. Show text message

Page 97 / 20

Hiding all of this from TAFE

Not true. I have an email where he says his company are doing student engagement.

## NSW ICAC EXHIBIT

Page 59 / 40

I assume there was no obligation for TAFE to actually pay anything for the poc. Is that right? – True

This is not correct.

The proof of concept that Ron requested involved building infrastructure inside TAFE and also converting some of their existing applications into a format suitable to be delivered. When I emailed Ron the proposal I provided a quote for me to build and implement the environment on TAFE hardware. I capped the billing time at 5 days. I estimate that I worked approximately 40 days over a period of 6 months on this project.

See email – 22 March 13 and also on 2 May.

Page 60 / 10

“and add a couple of grand for your troubles and support”

This payment was for work I had completed for TAFE since February on a student learning system called Moodle, for work related to testing a specific application on Jukebox. I had built test environments and written proposals. I had followed up around 10 times by email asking if there was any progress with these. Finally in June he responded saying that TAFE were taking its time to decide and driving him insane. ....Read email...

On 18 June 13 Ron emailed about this invoice saying “also add your consulting services as well...”

This shows that that payment was for previously undertaken consulting.

Page 62 / 30

It wasn't level 3 high end support. It was level 1 & 2 basic support. I have a signed support contract from Ron for this.

I have an email from 11 Feb 14 where I ask Ron “Also, do you want me to break the 1<sup>st</sup>/2<sup>nd</sup> line support into a separate line item?”

I also have an email dated 21<sup>st</sup> Feb 14 where Ron states “*As discussed I will be providing first and second level support for the product and student interaction and engagement with the IT section.*”

Page 63 / 30

“Please dress it up with complex descriptions”

The last part of that same email says “Finance has approved it so they need better descriptions on the invoices”

My response accurately reflects my understanding of this email